

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. 07/02/2003 Jeremiah E. Halley 38190/266715 3462 10/612,671 EXAMINER 10/07/2004 826 7590 ALSTON & BIRD LLP EDMONDSON, LYNNE RENEE BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000 1725

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			~ 1
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{\varphi}$
	10/612,671	HALLEY ET AL.	/
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lynne Edmondson	1725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commur NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju	ılv 2004.		. ,
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.		•	,
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.		,	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 7/2/03 is/are: a)⊠ acce	epted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have bee	n received in this National Stag	je
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.	
:			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	\
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date-7/19/04	5) Notice of Other:		,

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Daines et al. (USPN 5035411).

Daines teaches a preform comprising first and second members which have been friction welded, particularly linearly (col 2 lines 22-32). However it is noted that any type of welding process will yield a similar weld joint. The structural members comprise dissimilar metals (Ni and steel, col 1 lines 37-46). See also figures 1, 3 and 4.

3. Claims 1-3, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jennings (USPN 5697545).

Jennings teaches a preform comprising first and second members which have been friction welded, particularly linearly (col 1 lines 25-52 and col 2 lines 49-56).

However it is noted that any type of welding process will yield a similar weld joint. The structural members comprise dissimilar metals (col 3 lines 50-63 and col 7 lines 15-22)

Art Unit: 1725

including Al or Cu. At least one contact surface is rectangular (col 3 lines 58-63 and figure 10).

4. Claims 1, 2, 4-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Walker et al. (USPN 6219916 B1).

Walker teaches a preform comprising first and second members which have been friction welded, particularly linearly (col 1 lines 25-52 and col 2 lines 49-56) wherein at least one contact surface (48) comprises a rectangular block (figure 2). However it is noted that any type of welding process will yield a similar weld joint. The structural members comprise Ti alloys (col 7 lines 56-65).

5. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Norris et al. (USPN 6669447 B2).

Norris teaches a preform comprising first and second members which have been friction welded, particularly linearly (col 4 lines 52-64) wherein at least one contact surface (40, 72) comprises a rectangular block (figure 3). However it is noted that any type of welding process will yield a similar weld joint. The structural members comprise Ti alloys (col 2 lines 55,56).

6. Claims 1-3, 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Foster et al. (US 2002/0036225 A1).

Art Unit: 1725

Foster teaches a preform comprising first and second members which have been friction welded, particularly linearly (paragraphs 18-20). However it is noted that any type of welding process will yield a similar weld joint. The structural members comprise dissimilar materials, one of which is a Ti alloy (paragraphs 5 and 27).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Luc (dissimilar metals, Ti, rectangular block, elongate weld) and Krueger et al. (USPN 5470524, both Ti, elongate weld).
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 1725

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lynne Edmondson whose telephone number is (571)

272-1172. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from

6:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lynne Edmondson Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1725

LRE

LYNNE R. EDMONDSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5